THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Commissioner of Education
President of the University of the State of New York
89 Washington Avenue, Room 111
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov
Twitter: @NYSEDNews
Tel: (518) 474-5844
Fax: (518) 474-3909

March 4, 2021

Revised

Michael Healey, Superintendent
Argyle Central School District
5023 State Route 40
Argyle, NY 12809

Dear Superintendent Healey:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the & R P P L V V L R Q H U ¶ V 5 H J X O D W L R Q V . As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teacher V ¶ r principal V ¶ overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

Betty A. Rosa
Commissioner

Attachment

c: James Dexter

Page Last Modified: 01/04/2021

## Common Branch Grade One Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade one teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note* For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade one:
- Complete this section accordingly for common branch teachers.
- In the “Other Courses” section of Task 2, select the “Elementary” option for applicable subjects in the “Subject” column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Grade 1: Measure Type

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Two

Page Last Modified: 01/04/2021

## Common Branch Grade Two Measures and Asssessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade two teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note* For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade two:
- Complete this section accordingly for common branch teachers.
-

Page Last Modified: 01/04/2021

## Common Branch Grade Three Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade three teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note* For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade three:
- Complete this section accordingly for common branch teachers.
- In the “Other Courses” section of Task 2, select the “Elementary” option for applicable subjects in the “Subject” column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results

Page Last Modified: 01/04/2021

## Grade Four

Please identify below whether grade four instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade four teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note* For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade four:
- Select the applicable "Departmentalized" option below and complete the remainder of this section accordingly.
- In the “Other Courses” section of Task 2, select “Common Branch” in the “Subject” column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide results: Scores and ratings will be based on the growth of

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Six (uniform departmentalized)

PBe0 g 12 0 0 12 80 420 Tm ( )Tj T* ( )Tj T: R TEsodifiedT1_1/04Tf -31 12 0 0 12 80 420 Tm3CHERS: Requi CSD



Page Last Modified: 01/04/2021

## Grade Seven

Please identify below whether grade seven instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade seven teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note* For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade seven:
- Select the applicable "Departmentalized" option below and complete the remainder of this section accordingly.
- In the “Other Courses” section of Task 2, select “Common Branch” in the “Subject” column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.



Page Last Modified: 01/29/2021

## High School ELA (All Grades) Measure and Assessment(s)

High School ELA: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

High School ELA: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

High School ELA: Assessment Type(s)

- ☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

High School ELA: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ☑ Grade 8 Science
- ☑ ELA Regents
- ☑ Algebra I Regents
- ☑ Geometry Regents
- ☑ Living Environment Regents
- ☑ Earth Science Regents
- ☑ Chemistry Regents
- ☑ US History Regents

Page Last Modified: 01/04/2021

## High School Regents Math

Note: Additional high school math courses may be included in the “Other Courses” section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents math teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments var by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents math teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents math teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☑ All high school Regents math teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)

Page Last Modified: 01/04/2021

## High School Regents Science

Note: Additional high school science courses may be included in the “Other Courses” section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents science teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents science teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents science teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☑ All high school Regents science teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)

Page Last Modified: 01/29/2021

## High School Regents Social Studies (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)

High School Regents Social Studies: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

High School Regents Social Studies: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

High School Regents Social Studies: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Page Last Modified: 01/29/2021

## Other Courses

Please identify below the 'other courses' in your LEA; indicate which of the six available measures will be used for for each group of teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note*

For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for any of grades four to eight:
- Select one of the "Departmentalized" options at each applicable grade level and complete the remainder of the corresponding departmentalized section(s) accordingly.
- For the “Other Courses” entry below, select “Common Branch” in the “Subject” column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of the information as appropriate.

For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for any of grades kindergarten to three:
- Complete each applicable common branch grade level at the beginning of Task 2 accordingly.
- For the “Other Courses” entry below, select the “Elementary” option for applicable subjects in the “Subject” column with the corresponding grade(s) and comple the remainder of the information as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Complete the following, as applicable, for all 'other teachers' in additional grades/subjects (you may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measure and assessment(s) are the same including, for example, "All courses not named above"):

Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 3: subject of the course

Column 4: measure used

Columns 5-7: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Other Courses

Page Last Modified: 01/29/2021

| | (1) lowest grade | (2) highest grade | (3) subject | (4) measure | (5-7) assessment(s) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| All Other Courses | K | 12 | All courses not named above | District- or BOCES-wide results | ELA Regents, Algebra I Regents |
| K-3 Art | K | 3 | Art | Teacher and course-specific results | Questar III BOCES |
| Grades 9-12 English Electives | 9 | 12 | English Electives | School- or program-wide linked results | All Regents given in LEA |

To add additional courses, click "Add Row".

| Grade From | Grade To | Subject | Measure | State or Regents Assessment(s) | Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s) | Third Party Assessment(s) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | ☑ ☑ ☑ ☑ ☑ ☑ ☑ ☑ | | From |
| | | | | ☑ ☑ ☑ ☑ ☑ ☑ ☑ ☑ | | |

s >>1me

Page Last Modified: 01/04/2021

## Teacher Observation Category

For guidance on the Teacher Observation 2Perfor_T see NYSED APPR Guidance.

## Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on the observable NYS Teaching Standards.

| Rubric Name | If more than one rubric is utilized, please indi2Per the group(s) of teachers each rubric applies to. |
|---|---|
| Danielson's Framework for Teaching (2013 Revised Edition) | (No Response) |

## Rubric Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations.
- ☑ Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation 2Perfor_ will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall Observation 2Perfor_ score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the LEA, provided that LEAs may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for teachers who teach different grades and/or subjects during the school year as indi2Perd in the table above.
- ☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all observations of a classroom teacher across the observation types in a given school year.

## Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Teacher Observation 2Perfor_T see NYSED APPR Guidance.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Page Last Modified: 01/04/2021

* In the event that an educator earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

| | Minimum Rubric Score | Maximum Rubric Score |
|---|---|---|
| Highly Effective: | 3.50 | 4.00 |

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

| | Minimum Rubric Score | Maximum Rubric Score |
|---|---|---|
| Effective: | 2.50 | 3.49 |

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Developing range.

| | Minimum Rubric Score | Maximum Rubric Score |
|---|---|---|
| Developing: | 1.50 | 2.49 |

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

| | Minimum Rubric Score | Maximum Rubric Score |
|---|---|---|
| | | |

Page Last Modified: 01/04/2021

| TEACHERS<br>Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all teachers or to a subgroup of teachers. | SUBGROUP<br>If "Subgroup of Teachers" is selected in the previous column, indicate which teachers the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row. | UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations | UNANNOUNCED Observation Method | ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations | ANNOUNCED Observation Method |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| All Teachers (enter 'N/A' in the next column) | N/A | 0 | N/A | 1 | Live |

## Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

At least one observation must be conducted by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) and at least one of the required observations must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA.
- They may be employed within the LEA, but may not be assigned to the same school building as the teacher being evaluated. This could include other administrators, department chairs, or peers (e.g., teacher leaders on career ladder pathways), so long as they are not from the same building (defined as sam BEDS code) as the teacher being evaluated.
- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one observation by impartial independent trained evaluator(s).
- The frequency and duration of observations are locally determined.
- Observations may occur in person or by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

* If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through th use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performe the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by impartial independent trained evaluator(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

| TEACHERS<br>Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all teachers or to a subgroup of teachers. | SUBGROUP<br>If "Subgroup of Teachers" is selected in the previous column, indicate which teachers the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row. | UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations | UNANNOUNCED Observation Method | ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations | ANNOUNCED Observation Method |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| All Teachers (enter 'N/A' in the next column) | N/A | 1 | Live | N/A | N/A |

## Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Page Last Modified: 01/04/2021

## Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)

If selected, at least one observation must be conducted by trained peer observer(s).

- Peer teachers are trained and selected by the LEA.
- Trained peer teachers must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.
- Observations may occur in person or by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by trained peer observer(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below.
If the optional subcomponent will not be used, please indicate "N/A" for the minimum number and "N/A" for the observation method for both unannounced and announced observations for "All Teachers."

| TEACHERS<br>Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all teachers or to a subgroup of teachers. | SUBGROUP<br>If "Subgroup of Teachers" is selected in the previous column, indicate which teachers the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row. | UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations | UNANNOUNCED Observation Method | ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations | ANNOUNCED Observation Method |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| All Teachers (enter 'N/A' in the next column) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## Peer Observation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that peer observers, as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- ☑ Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observers, these teachers received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.

Page Last Modified: 02/01/2021

## Appeal Assurance

Please check the box below.

☑ Assure the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

## Appeals

Page Last Modified: 01/29/2021

Training Assurance

Page Last Modified: 01/04/2021

## Teacher Evaluation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- ☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- ☑ Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- ☑ Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide teachers with their APPR scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.

## Assessment Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- ☑ Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the scoring of those assessments.

## Data Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- ☑ Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- ☑ Assure scores for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- ☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

Page Last Modified: 01/04/2021

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

measure indicated.

Principals: Assessment Type(s)

- ☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Principals: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ☑ Grade 8 Science
- ☑ ELA Regents
- ☑ Algebra I Regents
- ☑ Geometry Regents
- ☑ Living Environment Regents
- ☑ Earth Science Regents
- ☑ Chemistry Regents
- ☑ US History Regents

## Additional Principals

Please be sure all principals in your LEA are included in Task 7.

- ☑ Check this box to list additional principal(s) who will be evaluated using a different measure and assessment(s) included in this section.

measure indicated.

Principals: Assessment Type(s)

- ☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Principals: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ☑ Grade 8 Science
- ☑ ELA Regents
- ☑ Algebra I Regents
- ☑ Geometry Regents
- ☑ Living Environment Regents
- ☑ Earth Science Regents
- ☑ Chemistry Regents
- ☑ US History Regents

Page Last Modified: 01/29/2021

## Principal School Visit Category

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Principals' professional performance shall be evaluated based on a State-approved rubric using multiple sources of evidence collected and incorporated into the visit protocol. Where appropriate, such evidence may be aligned to building or district goals; provided, however, that professional goal-setting may not be used a evidence of teacher or principal effectiveness. Such evidence shall reflect school leadership practice aligned to the Leadership Standards and selected practice r

## Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards.

| Rubric Name | If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of principals each rubric applies to. |
|---|---|
| Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric | (No Response) |

## Rubric Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits.
- ☑ Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall School Visit category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the LEA, provided that LEAs may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different programs or grade configurations as indicated in the table above.
- ☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

## Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Page Last Modified: 01/29/2021

Please describe the process for rating and scoring the selected practice rubric consistent with the Department's regulations.

Your description should provide the complete process, including the following:

- The process for designating observable components (please note: all principals of the same building configuration/program must be evaluated based on the same set of observable components);
- The lever

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Page Last Modified: 01/29/2021

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

2.50 3.49

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

| | Minimum Rubric Score | Maximum Rubric Score |
|---|---|---|
| Highly Effective: | 3.50 | 4.00 |

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

| | Minimum Rubric Score | Maximum Rubric Score |
|---|---|---|
| Effective: | 2.50 | 3.49 |

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Deh2S6nd a maximum value between 2 -13a maximum value between 3.49 core

Highly Effective:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | | |

Page Last Modified: 01/04/2021

## Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponent 1: School visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrators
- At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score

Required Subcomponent 2: School visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*
- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

Optional Subcomponent: School visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)
- No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

* If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Please indicate the weight of each school visit type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

| Supervisor/Administrator [Required] | Independent Evaluator(s) [Required] | Peer School Visit(s) [Optional] | Group of principals for which this weighting will apply |
|---|---|---|---|
| 85% | 15% | 0% [N/A] | (No Response) |

## School Visit Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal's school visit category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, ouato f egory scfacl nscocipals for ipaaclice,erfort

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2019

## Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

## Category Scoring Ranges

The overall Student Performance category score and the overall School Visit category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the ranges listed in the tables below.

Student Performance Category
HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

| | Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating | |
|---|---|---|
| | Minimum | Maximum |
| H | 18 | 20 |
| E | 15 | 17 |
| D | 13 | 14 |
| I | 0 | 12 |

Principal School Visit Category
HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

| | Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating | |
|---|---|---|
| | Minimum | Maximum |
| H | 3.5 to 3.75 | 4.0 |
| E | 2.5 to 2.75 | 3.49 to 3.74 |
| D | 1.5 to 1.75 | 2.49 to 2.74 |
| I | 0.00 | 1.49 to 1.74 |

## Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

The overall rating will be determined according to the following matrix:

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Page Last Modified: 01/29/2021

## Additional Requirements

For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

## Principal Improvement Plan Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- ☑ Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

## Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the LEA.

Argyle_Central_School_District_Finalized__PIP-updated.doc

Page Last Modified: 02/01/2021

## Appeal Assurance

Please check the box below.

☑ Assure the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

## Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:

(i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anom as determined locally;

(2) the LEA's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law § and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and

(4) the LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the of the Board of Regents.

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be resolved in a timely and expeditious manner.

# Argyle Central School Teacher Improvement Plan

# Argyle Central School Principal Improvement Plan Template:

1. Skill(s) deemed unsatisfactory and in need of improvement:

2. Recommendations to assist in improvement

3. Resources the district will provide to help the principal make improvements.

4. Timeline for required improvements

5. Timetable and method(criteria) for evaluating the ’ ” ‹ • … ‹ ’ ƒ Ž ï • ‹ • ’ ” ‘ ˜ ‡ • ‡ • – ä

6. Evidence that demonstrates that the principal has made the required improvements to the skill(s) deemed unsatisfactory and in need of improvement.

I _____ agree to make the required improvements as outlined above.

_____
Principal

_____
Administrator