

THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTAMENTUNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Commissioner of Education President of the University of the State of New York 89 Washington Avæue, Room 111 Albany, NewYork 12234 E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov

Twitter:@NYSEDews Tel: (518) 4745844 Fax: (518) 474909

March 23, 2023

Revised

Sean Bruno, Superintendent Brockport Central School District 40 Allen Street Brockport, NY 14420-2296

Dear Superintendent Bruno:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your educator evaluation plan ("plan") meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your educator evaluation form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results own plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results own plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results own plan is the programment of the plant of th

category and/or the Observation/School

Visit category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

Betty A. Rosa

Commissioner

Attachment

c. Jo Anne Antonacci

BROCKPORT CSD

Status Date: 03/01/2023 09:12 AM - Submitted

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 1. General Information - Disclaimers and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 12/02/2022

Disclaimers

For guidance related to Educator Evaluation plans, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance. For a definition of terms related to Educator Evaluation, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

The Department will review the contents of each local educational agency's (LEA) Educator Evaluation plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in an LEA's plan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from an LEA to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Each LEA is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented Educator Evaluation plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of an LEA's plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the LEA are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this Educator Evaluation plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the Educator Evaluation plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the LEA, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this Educator Evaluation plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject or disapprove this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

Educator Evaluation Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the content of this form represents the LEA's entire Educator Evaluation plan and that the Educator Evaluation plan is in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that a detailed version of the LEA's entire Educator Evaluation plan is kept on file and that a copy of such plan will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that this Educator Evaluation plan will be posted on the LEA's website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall occur later.
- Assure that it is understood that this LEA's Educator Evaluation plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval.

03/01/2023 10:30 AM Page 1 of 55

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

Student Learning Objectives (SLOs)

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

Use the table below to list all applicable teachers with the corresponding measure and assessment(s).

Note on common branch/departmentalized options

Grades 4-8

- If all core content area instruction (ELA/math/science/social studies) is delivered by a single teacher, please select each applicable common branch grade level below.
- If core content area instruction is departmentalized (i.e., separate ELA, math, science, and social studies teachers), please select the applicable grade level/content area combination(s).
- If both common branch and departmentalized instruction occurs in a particular grade level, please select both options for the applicable grade level(s).

s).

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Weighting

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of the Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

03/01/2023 10:30 AM Page 6 of 55

Task 3. TEACHERS: Optional Student Performance - Use of the Optional Subcomponent

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

îOption (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;

îOption (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;

î Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;

Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;

Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments; or

î\$Q\ RWKHU FROOHFWLYHO\ EDUJDLQHG PHDVXUH RI VWXGHQW JURZWK RU DFKLHYHPHQW L

Please indicate if the optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher.

03/01/2023 10:30 AM Page 7 of 55

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

		Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
F	lighly Effective:	3.50	4.00

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

		Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
E	Effective:	2.50	3.49

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Developing range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.50	2.49

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

141.90			
		Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
	Ineffective:	0.00	4.40
		0.00	1.49

03/01/2023 10:30 AM Page 10 of 55

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Teacher Observations

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting

For a definition of terms used in this section, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrator(s)

- At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)

- No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

* The process selected for conducting observations, including those conducted by trained, impartial independent evaluators, exists in perpetuity until a new plan is approved by the Commissioner. However, if yospfoner. However, if yospfoneiAonerl8 TfFTfFTfFn00(ceiveoner. Hod equaisstor(s)*)Tj 0 1 47 5

BROCKPORT CSD

Status Date: 03/01/2023 09:12 AM - Submitted

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Teacher Observations

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

trained administrator (supervisor).

Required Subcomponent 2: At <u>least one observation</u> must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator (independent evaluator).

Optional Subcomponent: If selected, at <u>least one observation</u> must be conducted by a trained peer observer (peer observer).

Please use the table below to enter the minimum number of observations and method of observation for each type listed.

	Minimum Number of Observations	Method of Observation Select all that apply
Announced Supervisor Observation (Required Subcomponent 1)	1	✓ In person
Unannounced Supervisor Observation (Required Subcomponent 1)	0	☑ Not applicable
Announced Independent Evaluator Observation (Required Subcomponent 2)	0	✓ Not applicable
Unannounced Independent Evaluator Observation (Required Subcomponent 2)	1	☑ In person
Announced Peer Observation (Optional)	N/A	☑ Not applicable
Unannounced Peer Observation (Optional)	N/A	✓ Not applicable

Does the information in the table above apply to all teachers?

☑ No, there are 3 groups of teachers who receive a different number and/or method of observation of each type (identify the first subgroup below).

Please identify the first subgroup of teachers to whom the information in the table above applies.

Tenured Teachers

03/01/2023 10:30 AM Page 13 of 55

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Subgroup 3

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

Number and Method of Observation: Subgroups 2 and 3

At least one of the required observations must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

Required Subcomponent 1: At least one observation must be conducted by the building principal or other trained administrator (supervisor).

Required Subcomponent 2: At least one observation must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator (independent evaluator).

Optional Subcomponent: If selected, at least one observation must be conducted by a trained peer observer (peer observer).

Subgroup 2

Please identify the second subgroup of teachers to whom the information in the table below applies.

Probationary Teachers - Year One

Please use the table below to enter the minimum number of observations and method of observation for each type listed as applicable to the second subgroup of teachers identified above.

	Minimum Number of Observations	Method of Observation Select all that apply
Announced Supervisor Observation (Required Subcomponent 1)	2	☑ In person
Unannounced Supervisor Observation (Required Subcomponent 1)	0	☑ Not applicable
Announced Independent Evaluator Observation (Required Subcomponent 2)	0	☑ Not applicable
Unannounced Independent Evaluator Observation (Required Subcomponent 2)	1	☑ In person
Announced Peer Observation (Optional)	N/A	☑ Not applicable
Unannounced Peer Observation (Optional)	N/A	☑ Not applicable

Subgroup 3

Please identify the third subgroup of teachers to whom the information in the table below applies.

Probationary Teachers - Years 2-4

Please use the table below to enter the minimum number of observations and method of observation for each type listed as applicable to the third subgroup of teachers identified above.

	Minimum Number of Observations	Method of Observation Select all that apply
Announced Supervisor Observation (Required Subcomponent 1)	1	☑ In person
Unannounced Supervisor Observation (Required Subcomponent 1)	0	☑ Not applicable
Announced Independent Evaluator Observation (Required Subcomponent 2)	0	☑ Not applicable
Unannounced Independent Evaluator Observation (Required Subcomponent 2)	1	☑ In person
Announced Peer Observation (Optional)	N/A	☑ Not applicable
Unannounced Peer Observation (Optional)		

03/01/2023 10:30 AM Page 14 of 55

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Subgroup 3

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

	Method of Observation Select all that apply
N/A	☑ Not applicable

Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Please also read the additional assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 4 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d Educator Evaluation plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Peer Observation Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- ☑ Assure that peer observers, as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observers, these teachers received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.

03/01/2023 10:30 AM Page 15 of 55

Task 5. TEACHERS: Overall Scoring - Category and Overall Ratings

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on Educator Evaluation scoring, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

Category Scoring Ranges

The overall Student Performance category score and the overall Observation category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the ranges listed in the tables below.

Student Performance HEDI ratings must be a	ssigned based on the po	oint distribution below.		

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Teacher Improvement Plans

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

Additional Requirements

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.7 m 567 707 I S Q BT 1 0 0 1 42 710 Tm /F2 qo E

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 12/12/2022

		1	
Which groups of teachers may utilize the	Please select the ground(s) on which the teachers selected are	What is the	
appeals process?	permitted to appeal their overall evaluation rating.	maximum length	
Select all groups that have the same process as	Select all that apply.	of tival06 rEvalua	tion - Ed Law §
defined in subsequent columns.			
To add additional groups with a different process,			
use the "Add Row" button.			

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Training

Page Last Modified: 12/12/2022

Training Assurance

Please read the assurance below and check the box.

☑ The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on, at a minimum, elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

- 1. The New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators
- 2. Evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Assurances

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

Teacher Evaluation Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's evaluation, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- ☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- ☑ Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.

Assessment Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.	
--	--

✓	Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the optional subcomponent is selected.

03/01/2023 10:30 AM Page 23 of 55

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

Required Student Performance Measures

7KH UHTXLUHG VWXGHQW SHUIRUPDQFH PHDVXUH IRU D SULQFLSDO PD\ EH HLWKHU D VWXGH SULQFLSDOåV RYHUDOO UDWLQJ VKDOO EH GHWHUPLQHG EDVHG RQ HYLGHQFH RI SULQFLSDO 6WDQGDUGV

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

\$Q LQGLYLGXDOO\ DWWULEXWHG 6/2 LV EDVHG RQ WKH OHDUQLQJ RXWFRPHV RI D VWXGHQW

> Individually attributed results VFRUHV DQG UDWLQJV ZLOO EH EDVHG RQ WKH JURZWK RI VWXGHQWV LQ VFKRRO \HDU

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple buildings/programs of similar grade configuration or across multiple building/programs where the learning activities of one building/program indirectly contribute to student learning outcomes in another building/program. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where there is an opportunity for a collective impact on student learning;

identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support the LEA's focus on a specific priority area(s);

îWKH LPSDFW RQ WKH /(\$åV DELOLW\ WR PDNH VWURQJ DQG HTXLWDEOH LQIHUHQFHV UHJDU when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> Collectively attributed results: scores and ratings for the selected principals will be based on the growth of students in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

ih1.

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

INPUT MODEL

Selection of the Input Model will require:

à description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;

a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;

a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly	Effectiv	ve	Effectiv	ve		Develo	ping	Ineffec	ctive											
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97- 100 %	93- 96%		85- 89%		75- 79%	67- 74%	60- 66%	55- 59%	49- 54%	44- 48%		34- 38%	29- 33%	25- 28%	21- 24%	17- 20%		9- 12%	5-8%	0-4%

SLO Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that for any SLO based, in part, on the New York State grade four science assessment, once the assessment is no longer administered the SLO will utilize only the remaining assessments.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that such SLO is determined locally in a manner consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that all student growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined locally in a manner consistent with the Commissioner's goal-setting process. Such targets may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that if the principal's SLO is based on a small 'n' size population and the LEA chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed above, then the principal's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in SLO Guidance.

Measures and Assessments

Use the table below to list all applicable principals with the corresponding measure and assessment(s).

Choose "Add a Row" to include an additional group of principals with a different measure and assessment(s).

Building	Measure	State or Regents	Locally-developed Course-Specific	Third Party	Applicable
Configuration(s)	Mododio	Assessment(s)	Assessment(s)	Assessment(s)	School or
Cornigulation(s)		A336331116111(3)	//33e35iiieiii(3)	Assessificit(s)	3011001 01
for Applicable		Select all that	Select all that apply	Select all that	BOCES-
Principals		apply		apply	Program
Select all that apply					Please leave
					blank unless
					instructed by
					the
					Department
					to complete
					this column.
☑ All Principals	☑ Collectively	☑ ELA Regents			(No
- All I Tillolpalo	Concouvery	LETTROGENIO			Response)
	attributed results	☑ Algebra I			, , , ,
		Regents			

03/01/2023 10:30 AM Page 26 of 55

Status Date: 03/01/2023 09:12 AM - Submitted

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

						1
Building	Measure	State or Regents	Locally-developed Course-Specific	Third Party	Applicable	
Configuration(s)		Assessment(s)	Assessment(s)	Assessment(s)	School or	
for Applicable		Select all that	Select all that apply	Select all that	BOCES-	
Principals		apply		apply	Progr vaapliap	aS /F2 8 Tf 0
Select all that apply						

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Weighting

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of the Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

03/01/2023 10:30 AM Page 28 of 55

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 8. PRINCIPALS: Optional Student Performance - Use of the Optional Subcomponent

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category,see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all programs or buildings with the same grade configuration in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or - administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

îOption (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;

î Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;

î Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;

Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;

Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;

Option (F) Four, five, or six-year high school graduation rates;

Status Date: 03/01/2023 09:12 AM - Submitted

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

Principal School Visit Category

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance. For a definition of terms used in this section, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

)RU WKH VFKRRO YLVLW FDWHJRU\ SULQFLSDOVÅ VKDOO EH HYDOXDWHG EDVHG RQ D 6WDWHLQFRUSRUDWHG LQWR WKH VFKRRO YLVLW SURWRFRO :KHUH DSSURSULDWH VXFK HYLGHQFF SURIHVVLRQDO JRDO VHWWLQJ PD\ QRW EH XVHG DV HYLGHQFH RI WHDFKHU RU SULQFLSDO F SUDFWLFH DOLJQHG WR WKH /HDGHUVKLS 6WDQGDUGV DQG VHOHFWHG SUDFWLFH UXEULF Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess principal practice based on ISLLC 2008 Standards (PSEL standards beginning in 2024-25).

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized,
	please indicate the group(s) of
	principals each rubric applies to.
Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric	(No Response)

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

☑ Assure that the designation of components of the selected practice rubric as observable is locally negotiated.

Assure that all components of the selected practice rubric designated as observable are assessed at least once, and that each of the ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards (PSEL standards beginning in 2024-25) is covered, across the total number of annual school visits.

- Assure that a component designated as ineffective is rated one (1), a component designated as developing is rated two (2), a component designated as effective is rated three (3), and a component designated as highly effective is rated four (4).
- Assure that the process for assigning scores and/or ratings for each principal school visit is consistent with locally determined processes, including practice rubric component weighting consistent with the description in this plan.

At what level are the observable components of the selected rubric(s) rated?

☑ Subcomponent level (each observable subcomponent receives a rating)

How are the observable components of the selected rubric(s) weighted?

☑ Observable components are combined in some other manner (please provide more information below)e.g., domains 2 and 3 are weighted as 40% each, and Domains 1 and 4 are weighted as 10% each.

In the box below, please describe how the observable components of the rubric are combined.

Within each observable domain, elements will be scored on a 1-4 scale and then the element will be multiplied by the assigned multiplier (see below). All scores within each observable domain will then be added together across the 6 observable domains and divided by 35 (sum of all multipliers). 1. Shared Vision of Learning: Culture 3.5, Sustainability 1.5; 2. School Culture and Instructional Program: Culture 2, Instructional Program 4, Capacity Building 2, Sustainability 1, Strategic Planning Process 1; 3. Safe, Efficient, Effective Learning Environment: Capacity Building 3, Culture 3, Sustainability 2, Instructional Program 2; 4. Community: Strategic Planning Process: Inquiry 3, Culture 1, Sustainability 1; 5. Integrity, Fairness and Ethics: Sustainability 2, Culture 1; 6. Political, Social, Economic, Legal & Cultural Context:

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

Ple	Please read the assurances below and check each box.						
⋈							

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
1.50	2.49

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:		
	0.00	1.49

03/01/2023 10:30 AM Page 33 of 55

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Principal School Visits

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting

For a definition of terms used in this section, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

Required Subcomponent 1: School visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrator(s)

- At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score

Required Subcomponent 2: School visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

Optional Subcomponent: School visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)

- No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

* The process selected for conducting school visits, including those conducted by trained, impartial independent evaluators, exists in perpetuity until a new plan is approved by the Commissioner. However, if your LEA applies for and receives approval of an Independent Evaluator Hardship

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Principal School Visits

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

administrator (supervisor).

Required Subcomponent 2: At least one school visit must be conducted by an impartial independent trained school visit must be conO

Status Date: 03/01/2023 09:12 AM - Submitted

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Subgroup 2

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

Number of School Visits: Subgroup 2

At least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

Required Subcomponent 1: At least one school visit must be conducted by the superintendent or other trained administrator (supervisor).

Required Subcomponent 2: At least one school visit must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator (independent evaluator).

Optional Subcomponent: If selected, at least one school visit must be conducted by a trained peer principal (peer principal).

Please identify the second subgroup of principals to whom the information in the table below applies.					
Probationary Principals					

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Subgroup 2

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

Peer School Visit Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- ☑ Assure that peer principals, as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- ☑ Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer principal(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.

03/01/2023 10:30 AM Page 38 of 55

Task 10. PRINCIPALS: Overall Scoring - Category and Overall Ratings

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on Educator Evaluation scoring, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

Category Scoring Ranges

The overall Student Performance category score and the overall School Visit category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the ranges listed in the tables below.

Student Performance Category	

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Principal Improvement Plans

Page Last Modified: 02/27/2023

Additional Requirements

For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

Principal Improvement Plan Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or their designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or their designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this Educator Evaluation plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the LEA.

Principal_Improvement_Process_2 Updated 2.23.docx

03/01/2023 10:30 AM Page 40 of 55

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 12/12/2022

Which groups of principals may utilize	Please select the ground(s) on which the	What is the maximum length of time for the
the appeals process?	principals selected are permitted to appeal	principals selected to receive a final
Select all groups that have the same	their overall evaluation rating.	decision from the filing of the appeal?
process as defined in subsequent columns.	Please select all that apply.	
To add additional groups with a different		
process, use the "Add Row" button.		
	and methodologies required for such	
	reviews, pursuant to Education Law	
	Section 3012-d	
	☑ The adherence to the regulations of the	
	Commissioner and compliance with any	
	applicable locally negotiated procedures,	
	as required under Education Law Section	
	3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of	
	the Board of Regents	
	☑ The LEA's issuance and/or	
	implementation of the terms of the principal	
	improvement plan, as required under	
	Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart	
	30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents	

If "Other" was selected in the table above, please list the corresponding row number and group(s) of principals that may utilize the appeals process.

Row Number	Groups of principals not specified in the table above that may utilize the appeals process.
(No Response)	(No Response)

03/01/2023 10:30 AM Page 42 of 55

BROCKPORT CSD Status Date: 03/01/2023 09:12 AM - Submitted

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Training

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

Training Assurance

Please read the assurance below and check the box.

☑ The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal's evaluation. Note: independent evaluators and peer principals need only be trained on, at a minimum, elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

- 1. The Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable
- 2. Evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research
- 3. Application and use of any methodology as part of an SLO and any optional second measures of student performance used by the LEA to evaluate its principals
- 4. \$SSOLFDWLRQ DQG XVH RI WKH 6WDWH DSSURYHG SULQFLSDO UXEULF V VHOHFWHG E\ WK DSSOLFDWLRQ RI VXFK UXEULFV WR REVHUYH D SULQFLSDOåV SUDFWLFH
- 5. Application and use of any assessment tools that the LEA utilizes to evaluate its building principals
- 6. Application and use of any locally selected measures of student growth used in the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category used by the LEA to evaluate its principals
- 7. Use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System
- 8. The scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the LEA to evaluate a principal under this Subpart, including the weightings of each subcomponent within a category; how overall scores/ratings are generated for each subcomponent and category and application and use of the evaluation matrix(es) prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the principal's overall rating and their category ratings
- 9. Specific considerations in evaluating principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Evaluators, and Peer Principals and Certification of Lead Evaluators

For a definition of terms used in this section, please see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

Please answer the questions below to describe the training process for all evaluators.

Evaluator Training

Please identify the entity responsible for training and retraining evaluators.

Check all that apply.

☑ BOCES (BOCES trains component district)

Please read the assurance below and check the box.

Assure that the duration of training and retraining is sufficient to train on all 9 elements from Section 30-3.10 of the Rules of the Board of Regents (which includes, but is not limited to, training on the proper application or use of the rubric).

Initial training

Do all evaluators receive the same initial training?

☑ Yes, all evaluators receive the same initial training.

03/01/2023 10:30 AM Page 43 of 55

BROCKPORT CSD Status Date: 03/01/2023 09:12 AM - Submitted

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Assurances

Page Last Modified: 12/05/2022

Principal Evaluation Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's evaluation in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- ☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- ☑ Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.

Assessment Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the scoring of those assessments.

Data Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.

proside and constructive that principals will be a time ly and constructive to dutore achievaste

<u>SECTION IV</u>: PRINCIPAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN PROCESS

Upon rating a principal as ineffective developing, an improvement plan designed actify perceived or demonstrated deficiencies is to developed and commenced by October as soon thereafter as practicable after issuance of the final evaluation ratifige superintendent or designee, in conjunction with the principal, must develop any empent plan that contains:

- A clear delineation of the deficiencitient resulted in the ineffective or developing assessment.
- 2. Specific improvement goal/outcome statements.
- 3. Specific improvement action steps/activities.
- 4. A reasonable time line for achieving impement.
- 5. Required and accessible sources to be povided by the district to achieve goals.
- 6. A formative evaluation process documenting meetings strategically scheduled throughout the year to assess or oppress. These meetings shall occur at least twice during the: the first before October 1, and the second the March 1 and March 31. A written summary of feedback on progress shall be given within 5 business days of each meeting.
- 7. A clear manner in which impvement effots will be assessed, including evidence demonstrating impovement.
- 8. A formal, final written summative assessment delineating pess made with an opportunity for comments by the principal.



