

/ THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Commissioner of Education
President of the University of the State of New York

E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov Twitter:

NOTE:

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 1. General Information - Disclaimers and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2019

Disclaimers

For guidance related to Annual Professional Performance Review plans, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

The Department will review the contents of each local educational agency's (LEA) Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in an LEA's plan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from an LEA to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Each LEA is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented APPR plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of an LEA's plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the LEA are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the LEA, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject or disapprove this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

APPR Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below

- Assure that the content of this form represents the LEA's entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that a detailed version of the LEA's entire APPR plan is kept on file and that a copy of such plan will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the LEA's website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall occur later.
- ☑ Assure that it is understood that this LEA's APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website* following approval.

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 1 of 74

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 08/03/2020

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Each teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) locally determined, consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 2 of 74

ERIE 1 BOCES

Status Date: 02/03/2022 02:22 PM - Approved

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 08/03/2020

Student Learning Objectives (SLOs)

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for all teachers. The following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO.

MEASURES

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the student population of a course for which the teacher directly contributes to student learning outcomes.

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple sections of the same course or across multiple courses where more than one teacher either directly or indirectly contributes to student learning outcomes. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

- identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where teachers have an opportunity to collectively impact student learning;
- identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support an LEA's focus on a specific priority area(s);
- the impact on the LEA's ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator's effectiveness; and
- when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.
- > School- or program-wide
 - School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
 - School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
 - School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.
- > District- or BOCES-wide
 - **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students across buildings/programs in an LEA</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 08/03/2020

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

• State assessment(s); or

Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

- · third party assessments; or
- · locally-developed assessments (district-, BOCES- or regionally-developed).

HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly	Effecti	ve	Effectiv	ve		Develo	ping	Ineffec	ctive											
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97- 100		90- 92%	85- 89%	80- 84%	75- 79%	67- 74%		55- 59%	49- 54%	44- 48%		34- 38%	29- 33%	25- 28%	21- 24%	17- 20%	13- 16%		5-8%	0-4%
%																				

SLO Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the teacher has an SLO as determined locally in a manner consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.
- Assure that all student growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined locally in a manner consistent with the Commissioner's goal-setting process. Such targets may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- Assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.
- Assure that if a teacher's SLO is based on a small 'n' size population and the LEA chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed above, then the teacher's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in SLO Guidance.
- ☑ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each teacher will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.
- Assure that for any SLO based, in part, on the New York State grade four science assessment, once the assessment is no longer administered the SLO will utilize only the remaining assessments.

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 4 of 74

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Two

Page Last Modified: 07/21/2021

Common Branch Grade Two Measures and Asssessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade two teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

Note For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade two:

- Complete this section accordingly for common branch teachers.
- In the "Other Courses" section of Task 2, select the "Elementary" option for applicable subjects in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

- > Teacher and course-specific
 - Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > School- or program-wide
 - School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
 - School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
 - School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.
- > District- or BOCES-wide
 - **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students across buildings/programs in an LEA</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
 - District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Grade 2: Measure Type

Teacher and course-specific

Grade 2: Assessment Type(s)

☑ Third party assessment(s)

Grade 2: Third Party Assessment(s)

- ☑ STAR Early Literacy
- ☑ STAR Math
- ☑ STAR Reading

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 7 of 74

ERIE 1 BOCES Status Date: 02/03/2022 02:22 PM - Approved

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Four

Page Last Modified: 07/21/2021

Grade Four

Please identify below whether grade four instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Four (common branch)

Page Last Modified: 08/17/2021

Grade Four (Common Branch) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade 4: Measure Type

Teacher and course-specific

Grade Four: Assessment Type(s)

☑ Third party assessment(s)

Grade Four: Third Party Assessment(s)

☑ STAR Math

☑ STAR Reading

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 10 of 74

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Five

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2021

Grade Five

Please identify below whether grade five instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade five teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

Note For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade five:

- Select the applicable "Departmentalized" option below and complete the remainder of this section accordingly.
- In the "Other Courses" section of Task 2, select "Common Branch" in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

- > Teacher and course-specific
 - Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > School- or program-wide
 - School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
 - School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of
 students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
 - School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.
- > District- or BOCES-wide
 - District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
 - District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade five in your LEA.

☑ Common branch

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 11 of 74

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Five (common branch)

Page Last Modified: 08/17/2021

Grade Five (Common Branch) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade 5: Measure Type

Teacher and course-specific

Grade 5: Assessment Type(s)

☑ Third party assessment(s)

Grade 5: Third Party Assessment(s)

☑ STAR Math

☑ STAR Reading

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 12 of 74

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Six

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2021

Grade Six

Please identify below whether grade six instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Seven

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2021

Grade Seven

Please identify below whether grade seven instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade seven teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

Note For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade seven:

- Select the applicable "Departmentalized" option below and complete the remainder of this section accordingly.

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Seven (uniform departmentalized)

Page Last Modified: 10/15/2021

Grade Seven (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade seven departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

Grade 7: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

Grade 7: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide linked results

Grade 7: Assessment Type(s)

☑ Third party assessment(s)

Grade 7: Third Party Assessment(s)

☑ STAR Math

☑ STAR Reading

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 16 of 74

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Eight (uniform departmentalized)

Page Last Modified: 10/15/2021

Grade Eight (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade eight departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

Grade 8: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

Grade 8: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide linked results

Grade Eight: Assessment Type(s)

☑ Third party assessment(s)

Grade Eight: Third Party Assessment(s)

☑ STAR Math

☑ STAR Reading

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 18 of 74

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - HS ELA (all grades)

Page Last Modified: 09/01/2021

High School ELA (All Grades) Measure and Assessment(s)

High School ELA: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

High School ELA: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

High School ELA: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

High School ELA: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ ELA Regents

☑ Algebra I Regents

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 20 of 74

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - HS Regents Math (all courses)

Page Last Modified: 09/01/2021

High School Regents Math (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)

High School Regents Math: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

High School Regents Math: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

High School Regents Math: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

High School Regents Math: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ ELA Regents

☑ Algebra I Regents

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 22 of 74

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - High School Regents Science

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2021

High School Regents Science

Note: Additional high school science courses may be included in the "Other Courses" section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents science teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents science teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

- > Teacher and course-specific
 - Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > School- or program-wide
 - School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of
 students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.
- > District- or BOCES-wide
 - District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
 - District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents science teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☑ All high school Regents science teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 23 of 74

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - HS Regents Science (all courses)

Page Last Modified: 09/01/2021

High School Regents Science (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)

High School Regents Science: Measure

School- or program-wide

High School Regents Science: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

High School Regents Science: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

High School Regents Science: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ ELA Regents

Algebra I Regents

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 24 of 74

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - High School Regents Social Studies

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2021

High School Regents Social Studies: Measures and Assessments

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be included in the "Other Courses" section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents social studies teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents social studies teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

- > Teacher and course-specific
 - Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > School- or program-wide
 - School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
 - School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
 - School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.
- > District- or BOCES-wide
 - **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students across buildings/programs in an LEA</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
 - District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents social studies teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☑ All high school Regents social studies teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 25 of 74

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - HS Regents SS (all courses)

Page Last Modified: 09/01/2021

High School Regents Social Studies (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)

High School Regents Social Studies: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

High School Regents Social Studies: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

High School Regents Social Studies: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

High School Regents Social Studies: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ ELA Regents

Algebra I Regents

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 26 of 74

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Other Courses

Page Last Modified: 02/02/2022

Other Courses

Please identify below the 'other courses' in your LEA; indicate which of the six available measures will be used for for each group of

ERIE 1 BOCES Status Date: 02/03/2022 02:22 PM - Approved

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Other Courses

Page Last Modified: 02/02/2022

Complete the following, as applicable, for all 'other teachers' in additional grades/subjects (you may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measure and assessment(s) are the same including, for example, "All courses not named above"):

- Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course
- Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course
- · Column 3: subject of the course
- · Column 4: measure used
- · Columns 5-7: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

Follow the examples be	ollow the examples below to list other courses.							
	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) subject	(4) measure	(5-7) assessment(s)			
All Other Courses	K	12	All courses not named above	District- or BOCES- wide results	ELA Regents, Algebra I Regents			
K-3 Art	K	3	Art	Teacher and course- specific results	Questar III BOCES			
Grades 9-12 English Electives	9	12	English Electives	School- or program- wide linked results	All Regents given in			
					LEA			

To add additional courses, click "Add Row".

	Subject	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
12	Special				
	Education	Teacher			
		and course-			
		specific			
		results			
	12		Education Teacher and course-	12 Special Education Teacher and course- specific	12 Special Education Teacher and course-specific □

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Other Courses

Page Last Modified: 02/02/2022

Grade From	Grade To	Subject	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
6	8	Common Branch	Teacher and course- specific results			☑ STAR Math☑ STAR Reading

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 29 of 74

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Weighting

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2021

Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 30 of 74

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring

Please check all of the boxes below.

Page Last Modified: 08/12/2021

Teacher Observation Category

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on the observable NYS Teaching Standards.

9	
Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized,
	please indicate the group(s) of
	teachers each rubric applies to.
Danielson's Framework for Teaching (2011 Revised Edition)	(No Response)

Rubric Assurances

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 08/12/2021

Please describe the process for rating and scoring the selected practice rubric consistent with the Department's regulations.

Your description should provide the complete process, including the following:

- The process for designating observable components (please note: all educators of the same grade/subject must be evaluated based on the same set of observable components);
- The level at which components of the chosen rubric are rated (i.e., domain, subdomain, indicator, etc.);
- How the final score and rating for each observable component of the practice rubric is determined for each observer; and
- How the final score for the required (i.e., lead evaluator/evaluator; independent evaluator) and/or optional (peer observer, as applicable) subcomponent of the Observation category is determined based on the final score and rating for each observable component.

Example: All subcomponents of Domains 2-4 of the Danielson rubric have been negotiated as observable. Domains 2 and 3 are weighted as 40% each, and Domain 4 is weighted as 20%. For each observation, all observed subcomponents in a domain are weighted equally and averaged to create a domain score, which is then weighted as above and averaged to reach a final score for each observation. Scores for each observation are weighted equally and averaged to reach a final score for each observation type. The district will ensure that all subcomponents designated as observable will be observed at least once across the observation cycle.

All subcomponents will be weighted equally and averaged to get an overall score. Scores for each observation are weighted equally and averaged to reach a final score for each observation type. The district will ensure that all subcomponents designated as observable will be observed at least once across the observation cycle.

Scoring Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.	

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 08/12/2021

	Overall Observation Category Score and Rating			
	Minimum	Maximum		
н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0		
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74		
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74		
I	0.00*	1.49 to 1.74		

^{*} In the event that an educator earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

ſ			
		Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
	Effective:		
		2.50	3.49

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Developing range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.50	2.49

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 34 of 74

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Required Observations

Page Last Modified: 08/09/2021

Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators

- At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)

- No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

* If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Please indicate the weight of each observation type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Principal/Administrator	Independent Evaluator(s)	Peer Observer(s)	Group of teachers for which this weighting will
[Required]	[Required]	[Optional]	apply
85%	15%	0% (N/A)	(No Response)

Observation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a teacher's Observation category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure that the length of all observations for teachers will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- ☑ Assure that at least one of the required observations will be unannounced.

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 35 of 74

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Required Observations

Page Last Modified: 08/09/2021

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators

<u>At least one</u> observation must be conducted by building principal or other trained administrator and <u>at least one of the required</u> observations must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one observation by principal or other trained administrator. Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of management to conduct observations in addition to those required by this section for non-evaluative purposes.
- The frequency and duration of observations are locally determined.
- Observations may occur in person or by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by principal(s) or other trained administrators, as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

TEACHERS	SUBGROUP	UNANNOUN	UNANNOUN	ANNOUNCE	ANNOUNCE
Indicate whether the number and	If "Subgroup of Teachers" is selected in	CED	CED	D	D
method selected applies to all	the previous column, indicate which	Minimum	Observation	Minimum	Observation
teachers or to a subgroup of	teachers the number and method	eachers the number and method Number of M		Number of	Method
teachers.	selected applies to; otherwise, enter	Observation		Observation	
	"N/A." For additional subgroups, add	s		s	
	another row.				
Subgroup of Teachers	Tenured	0	N/A	1	Live
Subgroup of Teachers	Probationary	1	Live	1	Live

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

At least one observation must be conducted by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) and at least one of the required observations must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA. They may be employed within the LEA, but may not be assigned to the same school building as the teacher being evaluated. This could include other administrators, department chairs, or peers (e.g., teacher leaders on career ladder pathways), so long as they are not from the same building (defined as same BEDS code) as the teacher being evaluated.
- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one observation by impartial independent trained evaluator(s).
- The frequency and duration of observations are locally determined.
- Observations may occur in person or by live or recorded video, as determined locally.
- * If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by impartial independent trained evaluator(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 36 of 74

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Optional Observations

Page Last Modified: 08/09/2021

Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)

If selected, at least one observation must be conducted by trained peer observer(s).

- Peer teachers are trained and selected by the LEA. Trained peer teachers must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.
- Observations may occur in person or by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by trained peer observer(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

If the optional subcomponent will not be used, please indicate "N/A" for the minimum number and "N/A" for the observation method for both unannounced and announced observations for "All Teachers."

TEACHERS	SUBGROUP	UNANNOUN	UNANNOUN	ANNOUNCE	ANNOUNCE
Indicate whether the number and	If "Subgroup of Teachers" is selected in	CED	CED	D	D
method selected applies to all	the previous column, indicate which	Minimum	Observation	Minimum	Observation
teachers or to a subgroup of	teachers the number and method Number of		Method	Number of	Method
teachers.	selected applies to; otherwise, enter Observation			Observation	
	"N/A." For additional subgroups, add	s		s	
	another row.				
All Teachers (enter 'N/A' in	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
the next column)					

Peer Observation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that peer observers, as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observers, these teachers received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 38 of 74

Task 5. TEACHERS: Overall Scoring - Category and Overall Ratings

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2019

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Category Scoring Ranges

The overall Student Performance category score and the overall Observation category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the ranges listed in the tables below.

Student Performance Category HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.	Teacher Observation HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.			

ERIE 1 BOCES

Status Date: 02/03/2022 02:22 PM - Approved

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Teacher Improvement Plans

Page Last Modified: 09/01/2021

Additional Requirements

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Teacher Improvement Plan Assurances

Plassa	check e	ach of	the he	NAC I	halaw
riease	Check e	acn or	me bo) X E S	DEIC)W

	Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 08/12/2021

Appeal Assurance

Please check the box below.

Assure the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:
- (i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the Observation category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
- (2) the LEA's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and
- (4) the LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 41 of 74

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 08/12/2021

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be resolved in a timely and expeditious manner.

ind expeditions manner.	
Frie 1 BOCES APPR Appeal Process - EPEA Erie 1 BOCES ("BOCES," hereafter), by its District Superintendent of Schools ("District	
Superintendent," hereafter), the Erie I Professional Education Association ("Association," hereafter), by its President, each for good and	
aluable consideration, hereby agree as follows:	

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 08/12/2021

Panel or directly to the District Superintendent) shall be final and binding on all parties. It shall not be subject to any further appeal through any other process including grievance or arbitration contained within the collective bargaining agreement.

- 7. Unit members may not file more than one appeal regarding the same APPR or Teacher Improvement Plan. All grounds for appealing a particular APPR or Teacher Improvement Plan must be raised with specificity within the initial appeal. Any grounds not raised at the time of the appeal is filed shall be deemed waived.
- 8. The above appeals procedure shall constitute the exclusive means for initiating, reviewing and resolving any and all challenges and appeals related to a unit member's APPR or Teacher Improvement Plan. Unit members may not resort to any other grievance or arbitration procedures contained within the collective bargaining agreement or to any administrative or judicial forum for the resolution of challenges and appeals related to the APPR or Teacher Improvement Plan, except as otherwise authorized by law.
- 9. Upon request by either the EPEA or BOCES, this appeal process will be annually reviewed to assess its effectiveness. Any changes will be mutually agreed to in writing by both parties and approved by NYSED through a material change review.

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 43 of 74

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Training

Page Last Modified: 09/08/2021

Training Assurance

Please check the box below.

☑ The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

- 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
- 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
- 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
- 4) the nature (content) and the approximate duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

All Evaluators (lead, independent and all other evaluators) will complete training through the Erie 1 BOCES Instructional Resource
Team, which consist of one (1) full day training and five (5) 1/2 day trainings throughout the year. All Evaluators are trained as leads.
These trainings will include the nine required elements as outlined in Board of Regents rules 30-3.10 (for all evaluators, lead evaluators and independent evaluators). New Evaluators would take four (4) additional 1/2 day trainings to be initially certified. In addition, collaborative review and analysis of observation-based evidence and other professional evidence within Danielson's 2011 Rubric will take place during regular monthly administrative council/management team meetings and evaluator training meetings in order to ensure inter-rater reliability. Evaluators will utilize authentic evidence gathered during actual teacher observations, they will jointly review 3rd party provided video lessons, and they will discuss and review the nine criteria areas. All documentation of training and development activities will be kept on file. Upon gathering ample documentation that evaluators have been properly trained, the Superintendent will make the recommendation for the Board of Education to certify each evaluator to conduct evaluations. The in-district activities outlined and participation in regional meetings and trainings will be ongoing, and documentation of training will continue in order for all evaluators to be re-certified each year.

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 44 of 74

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Assurances

Page Last Modified: 08/09/2021

Teacher Evaluation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.				
☑ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if				

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 08/09/2021

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 46 of 74

ERIE 1 BOCES Status Date: 02/03/2022 02:22 PM - Approved

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 08/09/2021

Required Student Performance Measures

Student performance for prinicpals may be measured by either a student learning objectives (SLO) or an Input Model where the principal's overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 08/09/2021

- a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Building/Program Configuration(s) [1]

Page Last Modified: 08/17/2021

Applicable Principals [1]

If different measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, will be used for different grade configurations/programs, each must be described on a separate page. Complete this section for the first combination of measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, then use the checkbox at the bottom to add the next combination.

Use the table below to list the grade configurations of the building(s)/program(s) for the principal(s) who will be evaluated using the measure and assessment(s), as applicable, included in the following sections.

Grade From	Grade To
K	12

Principal Measures [1]

Please indicate how student performance will be measured for the principals listed above, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s) and assessment(s), as applicable.

Student performance based on a Student Learning Objective (SLO)

An individually attributed SLO measure

- > Principal and building/program-specific
 - **Principal and building/program-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal's building/program in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > District- or BOCES-wide
 - District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
 - District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals' buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Student Performance based on an Input Model

An input model uses evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

Selection of the Input Model will require:

- · a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

All Principals: Measure Type

Student Learning Objective (SLO)

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 49 of 74

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Building/Program Configuration(s) [1]

Page Last Modified: 08/17/2021

Student Learning Objective

Please choose the type of SLO applicable to the principals listed above.

Principal and building/program-specific results

Principal Assessments [1]

Please select the assessment type(s) and specific assessment(s) that will be used with the selected measure. Assessments should only be selected if applicable to the measure indicated.

Principals: Assessment Type(s)

- ☑ State or Regents assessment(s)
- ☑ Third party assessment(s)

Principals: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ☑ ELA Regents
- ☑ Algebra I Regents
- ☑ NYSAA

Principals: Third Party Assessment(s)

- ☑ STAR Early Literacy
- ☑ STAR Math
- ☑ STAR Reading

Additional Principals

Please be sure all principals in your LEA are included in Task 7.

☑ Check this box to list additional principal(s) who will be evaluated using a different measure and assessment(s) included in this section.

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 50 of 74

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Building/Program Configuration(s) [2]

Page Last Modified: 08/17/2021

Applicable Principals [2]

If different measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, will be used for different grade configurations/programs, each must be described on a separate page. Complete this section for the second combination of measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, then use the checkbox at the bottom to add the next combination.

Use the table below to list the grade configurations of the building(s)/program(s) for the principal(s) who will be evaluated using the measure and assessment(s), as applicable, included in this section.

Grade From	Grade To
9	12

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Building/Program Configuration(s) [2]

Page Last Modified: 08/17/2021

Student Learning Objective

Please choose the type of SLO applicable to the principals listed above.

Principal and building/program-specific results

Principal Assessment(s) [2]

Please select the assessment type(s) and specific assessment(s) that will be used with the selected measure. Assessments should only be selected if applicable to the measure indicated.

Principals: Assessment Type(s)

- ☑ State or Regents assessment(s)
- ☑ Third party assessment(s)

Principals: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ☑ ELA Regents
- ☑ Algebra I Regents

Principals: Third Party Assessment(s)

☑ Precision Exams

Additional Principals

Please be sure all principals in your LEA are included in Task 7.

☑ Check this box to list additional principal(s) who will be evaluated using a different measure and assessment(s) included in this section.

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 52 of 74

ERIE 1 BOCES

Status Date: 02/03/2022 02:22 PM - Approved

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Building/Program Configuration(s) [3]

Page Last Modified: 08/17/2021

Applicable Principals [3]

If different measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, will be used for different grade configurations/programs, each must be described on a separate page. Complete this section for the third combination of measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, then use the checkbox at the bottom to add the next combination.

Use the table below to list the grade configurations of the building(s)/program(s) for the principal(s) who will be evaluated using the measure and assessment(s), as applicable, included in this section.

Grade From	Grade To	
	12	

Principal Measures [3]

Please indicate how student performance will be measured for the principals listed above, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s) and assessment(s), as applicable.

Student performance based on a Student Learning Objective (SLO)

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Principal and building/program-specific

Principal and building/program-specific results: scores and rat

nd ĥ

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Building/Program Configuration(s) [3]

Page Last Modified: 08/17/2021

Student Learning Objective

Please choose the type of SLO applicable to the principals listed above.

Principal and building/program-specific results

Principal Assessment(s) [3]

Please select the assessment type(s) and specific assessment(s) that will be used with the selected measure. Assessments should only be selected if applicable to the measure indicated.

Principals: Assessment Type(s)

☑ Third party assessment(s)

Principals: Third Party Assessment(s)

☑ Precision Exams

Additional Principals

Please be sure all principals in your LEA are included in Task 7.

☑ Check this box to list additional principal(s) who will be evaluated using a different measure and assessment(s) included in this section.

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 54 of 74

ERIE 1 BOCES Status Date: 02/03/2022 02:22 PM - Approved

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Building/Program Configuration(s) [4]

Page Last Modified: 08/17/2021

Applicable Principals [4]

If different measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, will be used for different grade configurations/programs, each must be described on a separate page. Complete this section for the fourth combination of measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, then use the checkbox at the

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Building/Program Configuration(s) [4]

Page Last Modified: 08/17/2021

Student Learning Objective

Please choose the type of SLO applicable to the principals listed above.

Principal and building/program-specific results

Principal Assessment(s) [4]

Please select the assessment type(s) and specific assessment(s) that will be used with the selected measure. Assessments should only be selected if applicable to the measure indicated.

Principals: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Principals: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ☑ ELA Regents
- Algebra I Regents

Additional Principals

Please be sure all principals in your LEA are included in Task 7.

☑ Check this box to list additional principal(s) who will be evaluated using a different measure and assessment(s) included in this section.

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 56 of 74

ERIE 1 BOCES Status Date: 02/03/2022 02:22 PM - Approved

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Building/Program Configuration(s) [5]

Page Last Modified: 09/08/2021

Applicable Principals [5]

If different measure(s) and asse2ehDI4 0 -40 Td 0 -1 Td /F3 12 Te g s a5]

ERIE 1 BOCES Status Date: 02/03/2022 02:22 PM - Approved

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Building/Program Configuration(s) [5]

Page Last Modified: 09/08/2021

Student Learning Objective

Please choose the type of SLO applicable to the principals listed above.

Principal and building/prTj /Nae tyified: 09/08/202c 0 sultsv Q q 2 JOS§i582 Tf 0 0 0 rg (Status DatA899bram /Fipal and dr5j /Nae tyifi4

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 08/17/2021

Principal School Visit Category

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 08/17/2021

	Overall School Visit Cate Score and Rating	egory
	Minimum	Maximum
н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
ı	0.00*	1.49 to 1.74

^{*} In the event that an educator earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all school visits, a score of 0 will be assigned.

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Effective:	2.50	3.49

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Developing range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.50	2.49

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49

Page 62 of 74 11/21/2023 03:25 PM

ERIE 1 BOCES

Status Date: 02/03/2022 02:22 PM - Approved

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Required School Visits

Page Last Modified: 08/09/2021

Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponent 1: School visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrators

- At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score

Required Subcomponent 2: School visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

Optional Subcomponent: School visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)

- No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

* If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

ERIE 1 BOCES

Status Date: 02/03/2022 02:22 PM - Approved

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Required School Visits

Page Last Modified: 08/09/2021

Required Subcomponent 1: School Visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrators

At least one school visit must be conducted by supervisor or other trained administrator and at least one of the required school visits

must be unannounced (ac 45% \$12038 req36/25/3312030 rep06/26/15%).0 0.uration of school visits ar0191135 4Tj 0 g ET q BT 1 0 0 1 52 596 Tm /F3 8 Tf 0 0 0 rg

- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one school visit by superintendent or other trained administrator. Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of a board of education or superintendent of schools from conducting additional school visits for non-evaluative purposes.
- The frequency and duration of school visits are locally determined.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by supervisor(s) or other trained administrators in the table below.

PRINCIPALS	SUBGROUP	UNANNOUNCE	ANNOUNCED
Indicate whether the number and	If "Subgroup of Principals" is selected in the previous column,	D	Minimum
method selected applies to all	indicate which principals the number and method selected	Minimum	Number of
principals or to a subgroup of	applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups,	Number of	School Visits
principals.	add another row.	School Visits	
Subgroup of Principals	Tenured	0	1
Subgroup of Principals	Probationary	1	1

Required Subcomponent 2: School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*	

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Required School Visits

Page Last Modified: 08/09/2021

PRINCIPALS	SUBGROUP	UNANNOUNCE	ANNOUNCED
Indicate whether the number and	If "Subgroup of Principals" is selected in the previous column,	D	Minimum
method selected applies to all	indicate which principals the number and method selected	Minimum	Number of
principals or to a subgroup of	applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups,	Number of	School Visits
principals.	add another row.	School Visits	
Subgroup of Principals	Tenured	1	0
Subgroup of Principals	Probationary	1	0

Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Please also check each of the following boxes.

- Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or his/her designee. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 9 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 65 of 74

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Optional School Visits

Page Last Modified: 08/09/2021

Optional Subcomponent: School Visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)

If selected, at least one school visit must be conducted by trained peer principal(s).

- Peer principals are trained and selected by the LEA. Trained peer principals must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by trained peer principal(s) in the table below.

If the optional subcomponent will not be used, please indicate "N/A" for the minimum number for both unannounced and announced school visits for "All Principals."

PRINCIPALS	SUBGROUP	UNANNOUNCE	ANNOUNCED
Indicate whether the number and	If "Subgroup of Principals" is selected in the previous column,	D	Minimum
method selected applies to all	indicate which principals the number and method selected	Minimum	Number of
principals or to a subgroup of	applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups,	Number of	School Visits
principals.	add another row.	School Visits	
All Principals (enter 'N/A' in the	N/A	N/A	N/A
next column)			

Peer Principal School Visit Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that peer principal(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer principal(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 66 of 74

ERIE 1 BOCES Status Date: 02/03/2022 02:22 PM - Approved

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Principal Improvement Plans

Page Last Modified: 08/12/2021

Additional Requirements

For guidance on additional require to exist a spatial copale, Toda NIY Stature, RIPA Guida Truicements

Principal Improvement Plan Assurances

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 09/08/2021

Appeal Assurance

Please check the box below.

Assure the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:
- (i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
- (2) the LEA's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and
- (4) the LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 69 of 74

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 09/08/2021

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be resolved in a timely and expeditious manner.

and expeditious manner.	
Erie 1 BOCES APPR Appeal - Administrators Association Erie 1 BOCES ("BOCES," hereafter), by its District Superintendent of Schools	
("District Superintendent," hereafter), the Erie I BOCES Administrators Association ("Association," hereafter), by its President, each for	

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Assurances

Page Last Modified: 08/09/2021

Principal Evaluation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- ☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- ☑ Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide principals with their APPR scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.

Assessment Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the scoring of those assessments.

Data Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- Assure scores for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- ☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 73 of 74

Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan - Upload Certification Form

Page Last Modified: 10/06/2021

Upload APPR LEA Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using the "LEA Certification Form" found in the "Documents" menu on the left side of the page.

Certification Form.pdf

11/21/2023 03:25 PM Page 74 of 74

PRINCIPAL/SUPERVISOR IMPROVEMENT PLAN (PIP)

Administrator's Name		Evaluator Name	
Building	Assignment _		Date
Association Representative (if	applicable)		
Areas in Need of Improvement	•		
rusas in 1166a et improvement	•		
Timeline for Achieving Improv	<u>ement</u>		
Manner in Which Improvemen	t Will Be Assessed		
Activities to Support Improve	ment		
			
Signature of Administrator receiv	ving PIP		Date
Signature of Evaluator			Date
Signature of District Superintend	ent		Date
The evaluator has determined the conditions of the PIP.	at the Administrator red	ceiving the PIP has sati	sfactorily completed the
		Date	
	Copy – Principal/Supervisor		Supervising Administrator
Copy- District Superintendent			