



/ THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Commissioner of Education
President of the University of the State of New York
89 Washington Avenue, Room 111
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov
Twitter: @NYSEDNews
Tel: (518) 474-5844
Fax: (518) 473-4909

May 4, 2023 89 Washington Ave - 0 852 15d Aveay

plan ("plan") meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your educator evaluation form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visit category, and/or if the teachers' or principals' overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visit category

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 04/17/2023

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the optional subcomponent is selected.

Each teacher shall have a locally determined Student Learning Objective (SLO) consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 04/17/2023

Student Learning Objectives (SLOs)

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used as the required student performance measure for all teachers. The following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO.

MEASURES

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the student population of a course for which the teacher directly contributes to student learning outcomes.

> Individually attributed results:

SL[fifng w6 Tf 0 -2 TD (SL[fifng w6Md0470048LoS1000300570P <</MCID0 8 47 61or wh1>N CSD)3 /T1_2tcomes.

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 04/17/2023

Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

- **third party assessments**; or
- **locally-developed assessments** (district-, BOCES-, or regionally-developed).

HEDI Scoring Bands

| Highly Effective | | | Effective | | | Developing | | Ineffective | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|--------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|------|------|
| 20 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 97-100% | 93-96% | 90-92% | 85-89% | 80-84% | 75-79% | 67-74% | 60-66% | 55-59% | 49-54% | 44-48% | 39-43% | 34-38% | 29-33% | 25-28% | 21-24% | 17-20% | 13-16% | 9-12% | 5-8% | 0-4% |

SLO Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 04/17/2023

| Applicable Teachers <i>Select all that apply</i> | Measure <i>Prior to making a selection, please read the description of each measure provided above.</i> | State or Regents Assessment(s) <i>Select all that apply</i> | Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s) <i>Select all that apply</i> | Third Party Assessment(s) <i>Select all that apply</i> | Applicable School or BOCES-Program <i>Please leave blank unless instructed by the Department to complete this column.</i> |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Common Branch Grade 5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Common Branch Grade 6 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 7 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 7 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 7 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 7 Social Studies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Social Studies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 9 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 10 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 12 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Global History I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All non-core/elective teachers corresponding to the grade levels of the courses selected above(e.g. non-core/elective teachers in grades 4-8; to list non-core/elective teachers separately, please use the table | | | | | |

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 04/17/2023

| Applicable Teachers <i>Select all that apply</i> | Measure <i>Prior to making a selection, please read the description of each measure provided above.</i> | State or Regents Assessment(s) <i>Select all that apply</i> | Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s) <i>Select all that apply</i> | Third Party Assessment(s) <i>Select all that apply</i> | Applicable School or BOCES-Program <i>Please leave blank unless instructed by the Department to complete this column.</i> |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| in the following section) | | | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 11 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All High School Regents Math Courses <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All High School Regents Science Courses <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Global History II <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> US History | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Individually attributed results | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Course-Specific State/Regents Assessment (do not use this option in conjunction with any of the assessments above) | | | (No Response) |

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Weighting

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of the Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 3. TEACHERS: Optional Student Performance - Use of the Optional Subcomponent**

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;
- Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments; or
- Any other collectively bargained measure of student growth or achievement included in the LEA's evaluation plan.

Please indicate if the optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher.

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
| | |



Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

At what level are the observable components of the selected rubric(s) rated?

Subcomponent level (each observable subcomponent receives a rating)

How are the observable components of the selected rubric(s) weighted?

Each component is weighted equally and averaged

Scoring the Observation Category

If an evaluator conducts multiple observations of the same type, how are those observations weighted?

Examples of observations of the same type include but are not limited to:

- Two observations by the principal with one early in the school year weighted at 40% and one late in the school year weighted at 60%.
- Two observations by the principal, with one holistic score for each component of the rubric based on the preponderance of evidence over both observations.

Please note: Weighting across observation type (i.e. Principal vs. Independent Evaluator) are described in the following section.

Multiple observations of the same type are weighted equally

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in the next section, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Teacher Observation Scoring Bands

The overall Observation score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.

| | Overall Observation Category | |
|----------|------------------------------|--------------|
| | Score and Rating | |
| | Minimum | Maximum |
| H | 3.5 to 3.75 | 4.0 |
| E | 2.5 to 2.75 | 3.49 to 3.74 |
| D | 1.5 to 1.75 | 2.49 to 2.74 |
| | 0.00* | 1.00 to 1.49 |

that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice r

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

| | Minimum Rubric Score | Maximum Rubric Score |
|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Highly Effective: | 3.50 | 4.00 |

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

| | Minimum Rubric Score | Maximum Rubric Score |
|------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Effective: | 2.50 | 3.49 |

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Developing range.

| | Minimum Rubric Score | Maximum Rubric Score |
|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Developing: | 1.50 | 2.49 |

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

| | Minimum Rubric Score | Maximum Rubric Score |
|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Ineffective: | 0.00 | 1.49 |

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Teacher Observations

Page Last Modified: 04/17/2023

Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting

For a definition of terms used in this section, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrator(s)

- At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)

- No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

** The process selected for conducting observations, including those conducted by trained, impartial independent evaluators, exists in perpetuity until a new plan is approved by the Commissioner. However, if your LEA applies for and receives approval of an Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver for a school year, then the terms specified in that waiver application will apply for that school year only. Please note that independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver requests must be submitted and approved on an annual basis.*

Please indicate the weight of each observation type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

| Principal/Administrator [Required] | Independent Evaluator(s) [Required] | Peer Observer(s) [Optional] | Group of teachers for which this weighting will apply <i>If only one group of teachers is applicable, please list "All teachers"</i> |
|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|---|
| 80% | 20% | 0% (N/A) | All teachers |

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Teacher Observations**

Page Last Modified: 04/17/2023

Teacher Observation**The teacher observation category is made up of two (2) required and one (1) optional subcomponents.**

- The frequency and duration of observations are locally determined.
 - Observations may occur in person, by live virtual observation, or by recorded video, as determined locally.
 - LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one observation by any of the required observers. Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of administrators to conduct observations in addition to those required by this section for non-evaluative purposes.
-

Required Subcomponents

- At least one of the required observations **must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).**

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrator(s)

- At least one observation **must be conducted by the building principal or other trained administrator.**

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

- At least one observation **must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator.**
- Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA. They may be employed within the LEA, but may not be assigned to the same school building as the teacher being evaluated. This could include other administrators, department chairs, or peers (e.g., teacher leaders on career ladder pathways), so long as they are not from the same building (defined as same BEDS code) as the teacher being evaluated.

* *The process selected for conducting observations, including those conducted by trained, impartial independent evaluators, exists in perpetuity until a new plan is approved by the Commissioner. However, if your LEA applies for and receives approval of an Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver for a school year, then the terms specified in that waiver application will apply for that school year only. Please note that independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver requests must be submitted and approved on an annual basis.*

Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)

- **If selected, at least one observation must be conducted by a trained peer observer.**
- Peer teachers are trained and selected by the LEA. Trained peer teachers must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.

Observation Assurances**Please read the assurances below and check each box.**

- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a teacher's Observation category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that the length of all observations for teachers will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- Assure that at least one of the required observations will be unannounced.

Number and Method of Observation









Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 5. TEACHERS: Overall Scoring - Category and Overall Ratings

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on Educator Evaluation scoring, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

Category Scoring Ranges

The overall Student Performance category score and the overall Observation category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the ranges listed in the tables below.

| Student Performance | | | Teacher Observation | | |
|--|---------|---------|--|-------------|--------------|
| HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below. | | | HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below. | | |
| Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating | | | Overall Observation Category Score and Rating | | |
| | Minimum | Maximum | | Minimum | Maximum |
| H | 18 | 20 | H | 3.5 to 3.75 | 4.00 |
| E | 15 | 17 | E | 2.5 to 2.75 | 3.49 to 3.74 |
| D | 13 | 14 | D | 1.5 to 1.75 | 2.49 to 2.74 |
| I | 0 | 12 | I | 0.00 | 1.49 to 1.74 |

Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

The overall rating for an educator shall be determined according to a methodology described in the matrix below.

| | | Teacher Observation Category | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| | | Highly Effective (H) | Effective (E) | Developing (D) | Ineffective (I) |
| Student Performance Category | Highly Effective (H) | H | H | E | D |
| | Effective (E) | H | E | E | D |
| | Developing (D) | E | E | D | I |
| | Ineffective (I) | D | D | I | I |

Category and Overall Rating Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- Assure the overall rating determination for a teacher shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
- Assure that a student will not be instructed, for two consecutive school years, by any two teachers of the same subject in the same LEA, each of whom received an Ineffective rating under Education Law Section 3012-d in the year immediately prior to the school year in which the student is placed in the teacher's classroom unless the LEA has a Department-approved waiver from this requirement.



| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | |
| | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |



Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Training

Page Last Modified: 04/17/2023

Training Assurance**Please read the assurance below and check the box.**

The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on, at a minimum, elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

1. The New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators
2. Evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research
3. Application and use of any methodology as part of an SLO and any optional second measures of student performance used by the LEA to evaluate its teachers
4. Application and use of the State-approved teacher rubric(s) selected by the LEA for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher's practice
5. Application and use of any assessment tools that the LEA utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers
6. Application and use of any locally selected measures of student growth used in the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category used by the LEA to evaluate its teachers
7. Use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System
8. The scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the LEA to evaluate a teacher under this Subpart, including the weightings of each subcomponent within a category; how overall scores/ratings are generated for each subcomponent and category and application and use of the evaluation matrix(es) prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's overall rating and their category ratings
9. Specific considerations in evaluating teachers of English language learners and students with disabilities

Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Evaluators, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

For a definition of terms used in this section, please see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

Please answer the questions below to describe the training process for all evaluators.

Evaluator Training**Please describe how training and retraining evaluators is conducted.*****Check all that apply.***

- As a component district, training is conducted by, or in conjunction with, a BOCES

Please read the assurance below and check the box.

- Assure that the duration of training and retraining is sufficient to train on all 9 elements from Section 30-3.10 of the Rules of the Board of Regents (which includes, but is not limited to, training on the proper application or use of the rubric).

Initial training**Do all evaluators receive the same initial training?**

- Yes, all evaluators receive the same initial training.
-

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Assurances

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

Teacher Evaluation Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's evaluation, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure



Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the optional subcomponent is selected.

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

Required Student Performance Measures

The required student performance measure for a principal may be either a student learning objective (SLO) or an input model, where the principal's overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the learning outcomes of a student population within the principal's building or program.

> *Individually attributed results:* scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal's building/program in the current school year.

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple buildings/programs of similar grade configuration or across multiple building/programs where the learning activities of one building/program indirectly contribute to student learning outcomes in another building/program. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

- identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where there is an opportunity for a collective impact on student learning;
- identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support the LEA's focus on a specific priority area(s);
- the impact on the LEA's ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator's effectiveness; and
- when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> *Collectively attributed results:* scores and ratings for the selected principals will be based on the growth of students in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

> *Collectively attributed group or team results:* scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals' buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

- **State assessment(s);** or

Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

- **third party assessments;** or
 - **locally-developed assessments** (district-, BOCES-, or regionally-developed).
-

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

INPUT MODEL

Selection of the Input Model will require:

- a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

Measure Type(s)

Please indicate below which type(s) of measures will be used to evaluate principals. Please check all that apply.

- Student Learning Objective (SLO)

Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs and/or input models.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved Educator Evaluation plan.
-

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| <input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/> | | | |

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Weighting

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of the Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 8. PRINCIPALS: Optional Student Performance - Use of the Optional Subcomponent**

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all programs or buildings with the same grade configuration in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;
- Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (F) Four, five, or six-year high school graduation rates;
- Option (G) An input model where the principal's overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards; or
- Any other collectively bargained measure of student growth or achievement included in the LEA's evaluation plan.

Please indicate if the optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any principal.

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

Principal School Visit Category

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance. For a definition of terms used in this section, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

For the school visit category, principals' shall be evaluated based on a State-approved rubric using multiple sources of evidence collected and incorporated into the school visit protocol. Where appropriate, such evidence may be aligned to building or district goals; provided, however, that professional goal-setting may not be used as evidence of teacher or principal effectiveness. Such evidence shall reflect school leadership practice aligned to the Leadership Standards and selected practice rubric.

Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess principal practice based on ISLLC 2008 Standards (PSEL standards beginning in 2024-25).

| | |
|---|--|
| Rubric Name | If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of principals each rubric applies to. |
| Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric | (No Response) |

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring**

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the designation of components of the selected practice rubric as observable is locally negotiated.
- Assure that all components of the selected practice rubric designated as observable are assessed at least once, and that each of the ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards (PSEL standards beginning in 2024-25) is covered, across the total number of annual school visits.
- Assure that a component designated as ineffective is rated one (1), a component designated as developing is rated two (2), a component designated as effective is rated three (3), and a component designated as highly effective is rated four (4).
- Assure that the process for assigning scores and/or ratings for each principal school visit is consistent with locally determined processes, including practice rubric component weighting consistent with the description in this plan.

At what level are the observable components of the selected rubric(s) rated?

- Subcomponent level (each observable subcomponent receives a rating)

How are the observable components of the selected rubric(s) weighted?

- Each component is weighted equally and averaged

Scoring the School Visit Category

If an evaluator conducts multiple school visits of the same type, how are those school visits weighted?

Examples of school visits of the same type include but are not limited to:

- **Two school visits by the superintendent with one early in the school year to discuss organizational goals and areas for progress weighted at 40% and one late in the school year to present evidence aligned to goals and areas for progress weighted at 60%**
- **Several school visits by the principal with one holistic score for each component of the rubric based on evidence collected and observed over the course of the school year.**

Please note: Weighting across school visit type (i.e. Supervisor vs. Independent Evaluator) are described in the following section.

- Multiple school visits of the same type are weighted equally

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted school visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- Assure that once all school visits are complete, the different types of school visits will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in the next section, producing an overall School Visit category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all school visits, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Principal School Visit Scoring Bands

The overall School Visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Principal School Visits

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting

For a definition of terms used in this section, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

Required Subcomponent 1: School visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrator(s)

- At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score

Required Subcomponent 2: School visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

Optional Subcomponent: School visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)

- No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

** The process selected for conducting school visits, including those conducted by trained, impartial independent evaluators, exists in perpetuity until a new plan is approved by the Commissioner. However, if your LEA applies for and receives approval of an Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver for a school year, then the terms specified in that waiver application will apply for that school year only. Please note that independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver requests must be submitted and approved on an annual basis.*

Please indicate the weight of each school visit type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

| Supervisor/Administrator [Required] | Independent Evaluator(s) [Required] | Peer School Visit(s) [Optional] | Group of principals for which this weighting will apply <i>If only one group of principals is applicable, please list "All principals"</i> |
|--|--|------------------------------------|---|
| 90% | 10% | 0% [N/A] | All principals |

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Principal School Visits

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

Principal School Visits

The principal school visit category is made up of two (2) required and one (1) optional subcomponents.

- The frequency and duration of school visits are locally determined.
 - School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.
 - LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one school visit by any of the required observers. Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of administrators to conduct school visits in addition to those required by this section for non-evaluative purposes.
-

Required Subcomponents

- At least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

Required Subcomponent 1: School Visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrator(s)

- At least one school visit must be conducted by the superintendent or other trained administrator.

Required Subcomponent 2: School visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

- At least one school visits must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator.
- Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA. They may be employed within the LEA, but may not be assigned to the same school building as the principal being evaluated. This could include other administrators, department chairs, or peers, so long as they are not from the same building (defined as same BEDS code) as the principal being evaluated.

** The process selected for conducting school visits, including those conducted by trained, impartial independent evaluators, exists in perpetuity until a new plan is approved by the Commissioner. However, if your LEA applies for and receives approval of an Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver for a school year, then the terms specified in that waiver application will apply for that school year only. Please note that independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver requests must be submitted and approved on an annual basis.*

Optional Subcomponent: School Visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)

- **If selected,** at least one school visit must be conducted by a trained peer principal.
- Peer principals are trained and selected by the LEA. Trained peer principals must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.

School Visit Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal's school visit category score and rating: evichoS co8Blemurances ar me

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Principal School Visits

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

administrator (supervisor).

- **Required Subcomponent 2:** At least one school visit must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator (independent evaluator).
- **Optional Subcomponent:** If selected, at least one school visit must be conducted by a trained peer principal (peer principal).

Please use the table below to enter the minimum number of school visits for each type listed.

| | Minimum Number of School Visits |
|---|---------------------------------|
| Announced Supervisor School Visits (Required Subcomponent 1) | 1 |
| Unannounced Supervisor School Visits (Required Subcomponent 1) | 0 |
| Announced Independent Evaluator School Visits (Required Subcomponent 2) | 0 |
| Unannounced Independent Evaluator School Visits (Required Subcomponent 2) | 1 |
| Announced Peer School Visits (Optional) | N/A |
| Unannounced Peer School Visits (Optional) | N/A |

Does the information in the table above apply to all principals?

- Yes, all principals receive the same number of school visits of each type.

Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed at the school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
- Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Please also read the additional assurances below and check each box.

-

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Principal School Visits

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

Peer School Visit Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that peer principals, as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer principal(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 10. PRINCIPALS: Overall Scoring - Category and Overall Ratings

Page Last Modified 1 T2>ni 03.5 302 85.5 20 re 389 301//P <</MCIDT2>ni 03.5 302 85.5 70BE -2.667verall Ratings

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |



Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Principal Improvement Plans

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

Additional Requirements

For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

Principal Improvement Plan Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or their designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and,



Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 04/17/2023

Appeals Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.
- Assure that an appeal shall not be filed until a principal's receipt of their overall rating.

Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review [evaluation]; which shall include the following:
 - (i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
- (2) the LEA's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and
- (4) the LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Please review your negotiated appeal process and use the table below to describe the appeal process available to principals.

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| <p>Which groups of principals may utilize the appeals process?</p> | | |
| | | |

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 04/17/2023

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| <p>Which groups of principals may utilize the appeals process? <i>Select all groups that have the same process as defined in subsequent columns. To add additional groups with a different process, use the "Add Row" button.</i></p> | <p>Please select the ground(s) on which the principals selected are permitted to appeal their overall evaluation rating. <i>Please select all that apply.</i></p> | <p>What is the maximum length of time for the principals selected to receive a final decision from the filing of the appeal?</p> |
| | <p>and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law Section 3012-d</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents</p> | |

If "Other" was selected in the table above, please list the corresponding row number and group(s) of principals that may utilize the appeals process.

| | |
|------------|------|
| Row Number | Gon. |
| | |

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amendd [in 2019

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Training

Page Last Modified: 04/17/2023

Training Assurance

Please read the assurance below and check the box.

The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained [and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to 1, 2, or 3 of the below. Leadership (standards on iraslamittfuncluats, or applicable to.)] EM/Lblpan<</AMC9D 2 >>BDC T2box. Evidence-based @ pXVH •WKH`p@p•DSSURYHG 0PÀ`À0@đ0P„PPÀ`°`À0`„đ€`p„pE\p°€/(\$ •PXVHÀ HYDOXDWLR

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Training

Page Last Modified: 04/17/2023

Approximately how many hours of initial training will new evaluators receive? 2-6 hours**Retraining****Approximately how many hours of re-training (annual, periodic, or other frequency) will evaluators receive?** 2-6 hours**Certification of Lead Evaluators****How often are lead evaluators certified?** Annually**Please identify the party responsible for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators.** Board of Education**Inter-rater Reliability**

Inter-rater reliability refers to the extent to which different evaluators produce similar ratings in judging the same abilities or characteristics in the same target person or object. Within the context of educator evaluation, inter-rater reliability requires all evaluators trained in the school visit process to reach independent consensus on observable behaviors to ensure the accuracy, consistency, and precision of the implementation of the chosen evaluation rubric(s). It also requires administrators to analyze and track educator evaluation data and ensure that school visits are being completed with fidelity.

Select the option(s) below that best describe the process in place for maintaining inter-rater reliability.***Please check all that apply.***

-
- Data analysis to detect disparities on the part of the evaluators
-
-
- Periodic calibration meetings and/or trainings

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 12. Joint Certification of Educator Evaluation Plan - Upload Certification Form

Page Last Modified: 04/28/2023

Upload Educator Evaluation LEA Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Implementation of the Evaluation Plan

Please indicate below the first academic year to which this evaluation plan will be applicable.

2022-23

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the Educator Evaluation plan using the "LEA Certification Form" found in the "Documents" menu on the left side of the page.

4792_001.pdf

appr3_12_file_upload_394355498-Cert form 2.pdf

2023appr4418_001 1.pdf

General Brown Central School District Teacher Improvement Plan

Teacher:

Building:

Administrator:

Date:




Educator Evaluation plan.

Pursuing this document, the LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s) certify that the Educator Evaluation plan submitted to the

Commissioner for approval constitutes the school LEA's complete Educator Evaluation plan, that all provisions of the plan that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, and that such

visits;

that will be for each teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0, for each



Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature:

Date:

Superintendent Name (print):

ian re

Teachers Union President Signature:

Date:

Teachers Union President Name (print):

L

Administrative Union

Signature:

Date

Administrative Union President N

(print)

an m e

Board of Education

Signature:

Date:

Board of

President Name (print):