
NOTE:

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your educator evaluation plan have been reviewed and are considered as part of your plan; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your plan but are not incorporated by reference in your plan have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your plan and/or require corrective action.

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 02/21/2023

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the optional subcomponent is selected.

Each teacher shall have a locally determined Student Learning Objective (SLO) consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 02/21/2023

Student Learning Objectives (SLOs)

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used as the required student performance measure for all teachers. The following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO.

MEASURES

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the student population of a course for which the teacher directly contributes to student learning outcomes.

> Individually attributed results:

When determining the use of a collective or individual measure, the teacher should consider the following factors:

> All students in the course should be able to demonstrate the learning outcomes.

> The measure is specific to the course.

> Any other measure used should be used with the appropriate weight for the measure.

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 02/21/2023

Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

third party assessments; or

locally-developed assessments (district-, BOCES-, or regionally-developed).

HEDI Scoring Bands

| Highly Effective | | | Effective | | | Developing | | Ineffective | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|--------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|------|------|
| 20 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 97-100% | 93-96% | 90-92% | 85-89% | 80-84% | 75-79% | 67-74% | 60-66% | 55-59% | 49-54% | 44-48% | 39-43% | 34-38% | 29-33% | 25-28% | 21-24% | 17-20% | 13-16% | 9-12% | 5-8% | 0-4% |

SLO Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that each teacher has an SLO as determined locally in a manner consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.

Assure that each SLO goal target is present in a manner consistent with the goals below as established by the Commissioner. Such targets may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty,

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 02/21/2023

Use the table below to list all applicable teachers with the corresponding measure and assessment(s).

Note on common branch/departmentalized options

Grades 4-8

- If all core content area instruction (ELA/math/science/social studies) is delivered by a single teacher, please select each applicable common branch grade level below.

- If core content area instruction is departmentalized (i.e., separate ELA, math, science, and social studies teachers), please select the applicable grade level/content area combination(s).

- If both common branch and departmentalized instruction occurs in a particular grade level, please select both options for the applicable grade level(s).

Grades K-3 that use both a common branch and departmentalized model

- Check each applicable common branch grade level below.

2 Q WKH QRQ FRUH HOHFWLYH WHDFKHUV SDJH VHOHFW WKH è(OHPHQWU\é RSWLRQ IRU DS
JUDGH V

Choose "Add Row" to include an additional group of teachers with a different measure and assessment(s).

| Applicable Teachers Select all that apply | Measure Prior to making a selection, please read the description of each measure provided above. | State or Regents Assessment(s) Select all that apply | Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s) Select all that apply | Third Party Assessment(s) Select all that apply | Applicable School or BOCES- Program Please leave blank unless instructed by the Department to complete this column. |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All teachers(all grade levels, subjects and courses) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Collectively attributed results 03/09/2023 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ELA Regents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Algebra I Regents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 03: </MPM <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | (No Response) |



Page Last Modified: 10/21/2022

Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;
- Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments; or

Q \ R W K H U F R O O H F W L Y H O \ E D U J D L Q H G P H D V X U H R I V W X G H Q W J U R Z W K R U D F K L H Y H P H Q W L

Please indicate if the optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher.

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 02/21/2023

Teacher Observation Category

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance. For a definition of terms used in this section, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess teacher practice based on the NYS Teaching Standards.

| | |
|--|---|
| Rubric Name | If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of teachers each rubric applies to. |
| NYSUT Teacher Practice Rubric (2014 Edition) | (No Response) |

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the same rubric(s) is (are) used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the LEA, provided that LEAs may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for teachers who teach different grades and/or subjects during the school year as indicated in the table above.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is (are) used for all observations of a classroom teacher across the observation types in a given school year.

Rubric Rating Process

For more information on the Teacher Observation category see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance. For a definition of terms used in this section, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

The following is one example of how an LEA might score teacher observations using the selected practice rubric: Domains 1-4 of the Danielson rubric have been negotiated as observable. Domains 2 and 3 are weighted as 40% each, and Domains 1 and 4 are weighted as 10% each. For each observation, evidence is collected for all observed subcomponents in a domain. A holistic domain score is then determined for each teacher. These domain scores are weighted as indicated above to reach a final score for each observation. Scores for each observation are weighted equally and averaged to reach a final score for each observation type. The LEA will ensure that all subcomponents designated as observable will be addressed at least once across the observation cycle.

8 V H W K H I R O O R Z L Q J V H F W L R Q W R G H V F U L E H W K H S U R F H V V I R U U D W L Q J D Q G V F R U L Q J W K H V

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the designation of components of the selected practice rubric as observable is locally negotiated.
- Assure that all components of the selected practice rubric designated as observable are assessed at least once and that each of the NYS Teaching Standards is covered across the total number of annual observations.
- Assure that a component designated as ineffective is rated one (1), a component designated as developing is rated two (2), a component designated as effective is rated three (3), and a component designated as highly effective is rated four (4).
- Assure that the process for assigning scores and/or ratings for each teacher observation is consistent with locally determined processes, including practice rubric component weighting consistent with the description in this plan.

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 02/21/2023

At what level are the observable components of the selected rubric(s) rated?

- Subcomponent level (each observable subcomponent receives a rating)

How are the observable components of the selected rubric(s) weighted?

- Each component is weighted equally and averaged

Scoring the Observation Category

If an evaluator conducts multiple observations of the same type, how are those observations weighted?

Examples of observations of the same type include but are not limited to:

Two observations by the principal with one early in the school year weighted at 40% and one late in the school year weighted at 60%.

Two observations by the principal, with one holistic score for each component of the rubric based on the preponderance of evidence over both observations.

Please note: Weighting across observation type (i.e. Principal vs. Independent Evaluator) are described in the following section.

- Multiple observations of the same type are weighted equally

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in the next section, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Teacher Observation Scoring Bands

The overall Observation score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.

| Overall Observation Category | | |
|------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Score and Rating | | |
| | Minimum | Maximum |
| H | 3.5 to 3.75 | 4.0 |
| E | 2.5 to 2.75 | 3.49 to 3.74 |
| | 3.49 to 3.74 | 3.49 to 3.74 |
| | | |
| | | |

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 02/21/2023

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

| | Minimum Rubric Score | Maximum Rubric Score |
|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Highly Effective | 3.50 | 4.00 |

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Teacher Observations

Page Last Modified: 10/21/2022

Teacher Observation

The teacher observation category is made up of two (2) required and one (1) optional subcomponents.

î







Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Teacher Observations

Page Last Modified: 10/21/2022

Please also read the additional assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 4 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d Educator Evaluation plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Peer Observation Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that peer observers, as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observers, these teachers received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.

Category and Overall Ratings

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |



Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Teacher Improvement Plans

Page Last Modified: 10/21/2022

Additional Requirements

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

Teacher Improvement Plan Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or their designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or their designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this Educator Evaluation plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the LEA.

appr_62_tip_pp_434337166-Teacher Improvement Plan 11812_2.doc

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019



Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 02/21/2023

Appeals Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure





Training Assurance

Please read the assurance below and check the box.

The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on, at a minimum, elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

1. The New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators
2. Evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research
3. Application and use of any methodology as part of an SLO and any optional second measures of student performance used by the LEA to evaluate its teachers
4. \$SSOLFDWLRQ DQG XVH RI WKH 6WDWH DSSURYHG WHDFKHU UXEULF V VHOHFWHG E\ WKH DSSOLFDWLRQ RI VXFK UXEULFV WR REVHUYH D WHDFKHUâ V SUDFWLFH
5. Application and use of any assessment tools that the LEA utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers
6. Application and use of any locally selected measures of student growth used in the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category used by the LEA to evaluate its teachers
7. Use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System
8. The scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the LEA to evaluate a teacher under this Subpart, including the weightings of each subcomponent within a category; how overall scores/ratings are generated for each subcomponent and category and application and use of the evaluation matrix(es) prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's overall rating and their category ratings
9. Specific considerations in evaluating teachers of English language learners and students with disabilities

Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Evaluators, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

For a definition of terms used in this section, please see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

Please answer the questions below to describe the training process for all evaluators.

Evaluator Training

Please describe how training and retraining evaluators is conducted.



Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 10/21/2022

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the optional subcomponent is selected.

Page Last Modified: 10/21/2022

Required Student Performance Measures

7KH UHTXLUHG VWXGHQW SHUIRUPDQFH PHDVXUH IRU D SULQFLSDO PD\ EH HLWKHU D VWXGHQW
SULQFLSDOáV RYHUDOO UDWLQJ VKDOO EH GHWHUPLQHG EDVHG RQ HYLGHQFH RI SULQFLSDO
6WDQG DUGV

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

\$Q LQGLYLGXDO\ DWWULEXWHG 6/2 LV EDVHG RQ WKH OHDUQLQJ RXWFRPHV RI D VWXGHQW

> Individually attributed results VFRUHV DQG UDWLQJV ZLOO EH EDVHG RQ WKH JURZWK RI VWXGHQWV LQ
VFKRRO \HDU

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple buildings/programs of similar grade configuration or across multiple building/programs where the learning activities of one building/program indirectly contribute to student learning outcomes in another building/program. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where there is an opportunity for a collective impact on student learning;

identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support the LEA's focus on a specific priority area(s);

WKH LPDFW RQ WKH /(\$áV DELOLW\ WR PDNH VWURQJ DQG HTXLWDEOH LQIHUHQFHV UHJD

when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> Collectively attributed results: scores and ratings for the selected principals will be based on the growth of students in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

> Collectively attributed group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of VWXGHQWV LQ WKH JURXS WHDP RI SULQFLSDOVá EXLOGLQJV SURJUDPV LQ DQ /(\$ in the current school year.

ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

State assessment(s)

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 10/21/2022

INPUT MODEL

Selection of the Input Model will require:

- a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

Measure Type(s)

Please indicate below which type(s) of measures will be used to evaluate principals. Please check all that apply.

- Student Learning Objective (SLO)

Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs and/or input models.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved Educator Evaluation plan.

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 01/12/2023

HEDI Scoring Bands



Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 01/12/2023

| Building Configuration(s) for Applicable Principals Select all that apply | Measure | State or Regents Assessment(s) Select all that apply | Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s) Select all that apply | Third Party Assessment(s) Select all that apply | Applicable School or BOCES-Program Please leave blank unless instructed by the Department to complete this column. |
|--|---------|--|--|--|---|
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Living Environment Regents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> US History Regents | | | |

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Weighting

Page Last Modified: 10/21/2022

Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of the Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

Page Last Modified: 10/21/2022

Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all programs or buildings with the same grade configuration in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;
- Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (F) Four, five, or six-year high school graduation rates;
- Option (G) An input model where the principal's overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards; or

Option (H) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;

Please indicate if the optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any principal.

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
| | |



Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 02/21/2023

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the designation of components of the selected practice rubric as observable is locally negotiated.
- Assure that all components of the selected practice rubric designated as observable are assessed at least once, and that each of the ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards (PSEL standards beginning in 2024-25) is covered, across the total number of annual school visits.
- Assure that a component designated as ineffective is rated one (1), a component designated as developing is rated two (2), a component designated as effective is rated three (3), and a component designated as highly effective is rated four (4).
- Assure that the process for assigning scores and/or ratings for each principal school visit is consistent with locally determined processes, including practice rubric component weighting consistent with the description in this plan.

At what level are the observable components of the selected rubric(s) rated?

- Subcomponent level (each observable subcomponent receives a rating)

How are the observable components of the selected rubric(s) weighted?

- Each component is weighted equally and averaged

Scoring the School Visit Category

If an evaluator conducts multiple school visits of the same type, how are those school visits weighted?

Examples of school visits of the same type include but are not limited to:

Two school visits by the superintendent with one early in the school year to discuss organizational goals and areas for progress weighted at 40% and one late in the school year to present evidence aligned to goals and areas for progress weighted at 60%

Several school visits by the principal with one holistic score for each component of the rubric based on evidence collected and observed over the course of the school year.

Please note: Weighting across school visit type (i.e. Supervisor vs. Independent Evaluator) are described in the following section.

- Multiple school visits of the same type are weighted equally

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted school visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- Assure that once all school visits are complete, the different types of school visits will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in the next section, producing an overall School Visit category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all school visits, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Principal School Visit Scoring Bands

The overall School Visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.

| Overall School Visit Category | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Score and Rating | | |
| | Minimum | Maximum |
| H | 3.5 to 3.75 | 4.0 |
| E | 2.5 to 2.75 | 3.49 to 3.74 |
| D | 1.5 to 1.75 | 2.49 to 2.74 |
| I | 0.00* | 1.49 to 1.74 |

* In the event that an educator earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all school visits, a score of 0 will be assigned.

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

| | Minimum Rubric Score | Maximum Rubric Score |
|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Highly Effective: | 3.50 | 4.00 |

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

| | Minimum Rubric Score | Maximum Rubric Score |
|------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Effective: | 2.50 | 3.49 |

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Developing range.

| | Minimum Rubric Score | Maximum Rubric Score |
|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Developing: | 1.50 | 2.49 |

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

| | Minimum Rubric Score | Maximum Rubric Score |
|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Ineffective: | 0.00 | 1.49 |

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Principal School Visits

Page Last Modified: 10/21/2022 s Task 9. PRINCIP8ORO C8 47 6441_0 1F247a defini, ameof terms us</MCIDthis sec, am, se

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |



Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Principal School Visits

Page Last Modified: 10/21/2022

Peer School Visit Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that peer principals, as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer principal(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.

[Redacted area]

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Principal Improvement Plans

Page Last Modified: 10/21/2022

Additional Requirements

For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

Principal Improvement Plan Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or their designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or their designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, must include:

1)

where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.



Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 02/21/2023

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| <p>Which groups of principals may utilize the appeals process? Select all groups that have the same process as defined in subsequent columns. To add additional groups with a different process, use the "Add Row" button.</p> | <p>Please select the ground(s) on which the principals selected are permitted to appeal their overall evaluation rating. Please select all that apply.</p> | <p>What is the maximum length of time for the principals selected to receive a final decision from the filing of the appeal?</p> |
| | <p>and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law Section 3012-d</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents</p> | |

If "Other" was selected in the table above, please list the corresponding row number and group(s) of principals that may utilize the appeals process.

| | |
|---------------|---|
| Row Number | Groups of principals not specified in the table above that may utilize the appeals process. |
| (No Response) | (No Response) |

Training Assurance

Please read the assurance below and check the box.

The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal's evaluation. Note: independent evaluators and peer principals need only be trained on, at a minimum, elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

1. The Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable
2. Evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research
3. Application and use of any methodology as part of an SLO and any optional second measures of student performance used by the LEA to evaluate its principals
- 4.



Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Training

Page Last Modified: 02/21/2023

Approximately how many hours of initial training will new evaluators receive?

2-6 hours

Retraining

Approximately how many hours of re-training (annual, periodic, or other frequency) will evaluators receive?

2-6 hours

Certification of Lead Evaluators

How often are lead evaluators certified?

Annually

Please identify the party responsible for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators.

BOCES

Inter-rater Reliability

Inter-rater reliability refers to the extent to which different evaluators produce similar ratings in judging the same abilities or characteristics in the same target person or object. Within the context of educator evaluation, inter-rater reliability requires all evaluators trained in the school visit process to reach independent consensus on observable behaviors to ensure the accuracy, consistency, and precision of the implementation of the chosen evaluation rubric(s). It also requires administrators to analyze and track educator evaluation data and ensure that school visits are being completed with fidelity.

Select the option(s) below that best describe the process in place for maintaining inter-rater reliability.

Please check all that apply.

Periodic comparisons of an evaluator's assessment of the same building principal

Periodic calibration meetings and/or trainings

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Assurances

Page Last Modified: 10/21/2022

Principal Evaluation Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's evaluation in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.

Assessment Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the scoring of those assessments.

Data Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- Assure that scores for all principals will be reported to SED for each subcomponent, as well as the overall rating, as per SED requirements.
- Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 12. Joint Certification of Educator Evaluation Plan - Upload Certification Form

Page Last Modified: 03/09/2023

Upload Educator Evaluation LEA Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Implementation of the Evaluation Plan

Please indicate below the first academic year to which this evaluation plan will be applicable.

2022-23

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the Educator Evaluation plan using the "LEA Certification Form" found in the "Documents" menu on the left side of the page.

APPR 3 9 23.pdf

Principal Improvement Plan

(Completed Jointly by Principal and Lead Evaluator / Designee)

| | | |
|----------------------------------|---------|------------------------|
| Name: | School: | Current School Year: |
| Date of related APPR/Evaluation: | | Date of PIP conference |

| Area(s) Needing Improvement (Goals) | Action Plan (Steps to be taken) | Timeline for Completion | Evidence to be Collected | Satisfactory Progress | Plan Completed |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| 1. | 1. | | | Yes No Date: | Yes No Date: |
| 2. | 2. | | | Yes No Date: | Yes No Date: |

Marlboro Central

